

# Joint distribution of inverses in matrix groups over finite fields

Corentin Perret-Gentil

ABSTRACT. We study the joint distribution of the solutions to the equation  $gh = x$  in  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , for any fixed  $x \in G(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ ,  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ,  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  or  $\mathrm{SO}_n^\pm$ . In the special linear case, this answers in particular a question raised by S. Hu and Y. Li, and improves their error terms. Similar results are derived in certain subgroups, and when the entries of  $g, h$  lie in fixed intervals. The latter shows for example the existence of  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  such that  $g, g^{-1}$  have all entries in  $[0, c_n p^{1-1/(2n^2+2)+\varepsilon}]$  for some absolute constant  $c_n > 0$ . The key for these results is to use Deligne's extension of the Weil conjectures on a sheaf on  $G$ , along with the stratification theorem of Fouvry, Katz and Laumon, instead of reducing to bounds on classical Kloosterman sums.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The cases of  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .** Following several similar results for the group  $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$  (see [Shp12] for a survey), S. Hu and Y. Li [HL13] have shown that for the matrix group  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  and any  $x \in G$ , the solutions to the equation

$$gh = x \quad (g, h \in G)$$

are uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]^{n^2} \times [0, 1]^{n^2}$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , with respect to the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \eta : M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow [0, 1]^{n^2} \\ g = (g_{i,j})_{i,j} &\mapsto (\{g_{i,j}/p\})_{i,j}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $\{\cdot\}$  denotes the fractional part. In particular, the entries of a nonsingular matrix and its inverse are jointly uniformly distributed. More precisely, they obtain a bound for the discrepancy.

Their main tools are bounds for matrix analogues of Kloosterman sums obtained in [FHL<sup>+</sup>10] by reducing to classical Kloosterman sums.

1.2. **Special linear groups.** At the end of their paper, Hu and Li note that this does *not* hold for  $G = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , but conjecture that there should be joint uniform distribution whenever  $n \geq 3$ . We positively answer this by showing:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be*

$$\mathrm{GL}_n \ (n \geq 1) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{SL}_n \ (n \geq 3), \tag{2}$$

---

Date: April 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11C20, 11K36, 11T24, 11L05.

and let  $x \in G(\mathbb{Z})$ . As  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , the elements

$$A_x(g) = (g, g^{-1}x) \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \times M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \quad (g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p))$$

are uniformly distributed in  $\Omega = [0, 1]^{n^2} \times [0, 1]^{n^2}$  with respect to the embedding (1). More precisely, for every product of intervals  $R$  in  $\Omega$ ,

$$\frac{|\{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) : \eta(A_x(g)) \in R\}|}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} = \text{meas}(R) + \begin{cases} O_n \left( \frac{(\log p)^{n^2+1}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) & : G = \text{GL}_n \\ O_n \left( \frac{(\log p)^{n^2+2}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) & : G = \text{SL}_n \end{cases}$$

as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . The implied constants depend only on  $n$ . This also holds with  $R \subset \Omega$  an arbitrary convex set if the errors are replaced by their  $1/(2n^2)$ th powers.

*Remark 1.2.* This improves the error terms of [HL13], which are for example  $p^{-1/(2(2n^2+1))}$  when  $G = \text{GL}_n$ . The bulk of the improvement comes from bounding nontrivially the  $1/r(\mathbf{h})$  factors that appear in the Erdős–Turán–Koksma that had been overlooked, as suggested by an anonymous referee.

NOTATION 1.3. We recall that for two complex-valued functions  $f, g$ , we write  $f = O_n(g)$  or  $f \ll_n g$  if there exists a constant  $C_n > 0$ , depending only on the variable  $n$ , such that  $|f| \leq C_n g$ .

1.2.1. *Generalization to certain subgroups.* The following variant shows that equidistribution of  $A_x(g)$  still holds in certain subgroups of  $\text{GL}_n$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let us consider the setting of Theorem 1.1 for  $G = \text{GL}_n$ . For  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[G]^\times$  a nonvanishing nonconstant function and  $U \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  a subgroup, let*

$$H = f^{-1}(U) = \{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) : f(g) \in U\}.$$

For every product of intervals  $R \subset \Omega$ , we have

$$\frac{|\{g \in H : \eta(A_x(g)) \in R\}|}{|H|} = \text{meas}(R) + O_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{p}}{|U|} \left( \log \frac{|U|}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^{n^2+1} \right)$$

as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . The set  $R$  can be replaced by an arbitrary convex set if the error term is replaced by its  $1/(2n^2)$ th power.

*Example 1.5.* One may take  $H = \{g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) : \det(g) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times r}\}$  with  $r = o(\sqrt{p})$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times r}$  denotes the set of  $r$ -powers in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ .

*Remarks 1.6.* (1) It is a theorem of Rosenlicht (see e.g. [Bro83]) that if  $G$  is a connected affine algebraic group, then  $f/f(1) \in \mathbb{F}_p[G]^\times$  must be a one-dimensional character (i.e. a character of the abelianization); in particular, the set  $H$  in Theorem 1.4 is a *normal subgroup*.

(2) In particular, we cannot get a nontrivial version of Theorem 1.4 for  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ : since the latter is perfect for  $p > 3$ ,  $f$  must be constant. The classification of maximal subgroups of  $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  [Asc84] also shows that the restriction on the index is too stringent.

- (3) Using the same techniques, it should be possible to obtain Theorem 1.4 also when  $H \leq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is any normal subgroup of index  $< \sqrt{p}$ . However, this requires additional technicalities that we do not wish to pursue here (see Remark 2.8 for further comments).

### 1.3. Other classical groups.

1.3.1. *Symplectic groups.* On the other hand, it is clear that Theorem 1.1 does *not* hold for  $G = \mathrm{Sp}_n$  ( $n \geq 2$  even). Indeed, if

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ g_3 & g_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p), \text{ then } g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} g_4^t & -g_2^t \\ -g_3^t & g_1^t \end{pmatrix}$$

(with respect to the standard symplectic form, where  $g_i \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ). Hence, the obstruction for  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  can be viewed as coming from the fact that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

1.3.2. *Special orthogonal groups.* Let  $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  be in one of the two equivalence classes of nonsingular symmetric bilinear forms on  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$ . Since  $g^{-1} = \Phi g^t \Phi^{-1}$  for  $g \in \mathrm{GO}(\Phi)$ , Theorem 1.1 does not hold either in this case. Actually, when  $n = 2$ , the elements of the special orthogonal group corresponding to the form  $\mathrm{diag}(\alpha, 1)$  ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ ) are themselves not uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]^4$  with respect to the embedding (1), since they are of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & -\alpha c \\ c & a \end{pmatrix}$ .

1.4. **Distribution of elements.** Nonetheless, the elements themselves are still uniformly distributed in all cases except  $\mathrm{SO}_2^\pm$ , as in [HL13, Theorems 1.5–1.6] for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $G$  be<sup>1</sup>*

$$\mathrm{GL}_n, \quad \mathrm{SL}_n, \quad \mathrm{Sp}_n \text{ (} n \text{ even)}, \quad \text{or} \quad \mathrm{SO}_{n, I_n} \text{ (} n \geq 3).$$

*As  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , the elements  $g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)$  are uniformly distributed in  $\Omega = [0, 1]^{n^2}$  with respect to the embedding (1). More precisely, for every product of intervals  $R$  in  $\Omega$ ,*

$$\frac{|\{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) : \eta(g) \in R\}|}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} = \mathrm{meas}(R) + O_n \left( \frac{(\log p)^{n^2 - \dim G + 1}}{\sqrt{p}} \right)$$

*as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . This also holds with  $R \subset \Omega$  an arbitrary convex set if error term is replaced by its  $1/(2n^2)$ th power.*

*Remark 1.8.* Note that the exponent of the logarithms in the error term is 2, 3,  $(n^2 + 1)/2$  if  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{SL}_n$  or  $\mathrm{Sp}_n$  respectively. Theorem 1.7 improves the errors terms:

- in [HL13], handling  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  and  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  using [HL12], which are  $p^{-n/(n^2+1)}$ .
- in Theorem 1.1 for the joint distribution.

<sup>1</sup>In what follows, we let  $\mathrm{SO}_{n, I_n}$  be the special orthogonal group corresponding to the form given by the identity matrix  $I_n$ : in other words,  $\mathrm{SO}_{n, I_n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the special orthogonal group with square determinant, i.e.  $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  if  $n$  is odd, and if  $n$  is even,  $\mathrm{SO}_n^\pm(\mathbb{F}_p)$  if  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$  respectively.

In the same vein as Theorem 1.4, we get the following generalization:

**Theorem 1.9.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.7, let  $H$  be as in Theorem 1.4. Then, for any product of intervals  $R \subset \Omega$ ,*

$$\frac{|\{g \in H : \eta(g) \in R\}|}{|H|} = \text{meas}(R) + O_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{p}}{|U|} \left( \log \frac{|U|}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^{n^2 - \dim G + 1} \right).$$

**1.5. Distribution with entries in intervals.** A related question in  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$  is the distribution of the solutions to  $gh = x$ , for some fixed  $x \in G$ , when  $1 \leq g, h \leq p-1$  lie in fixed intervals. It is a conjecture (see [Shp12, Section 3.1]) that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p$  large enough, there exist integers  $g, h$  such that  $gh = 1 \pmod{p}$  with  $|g|, |h| \leq p^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ . The best current result seems to be  $|g|, |h| \ll p^{3/4}$ , due to Garaev (note the absence of a logarithmic factor).

In matrix groups, we can similarly fix the entries of the matrices in intervals, yielding the following:

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $G$  be as in Theorem 1.1. For  $p$  a prime, let  $E, F \subset [0, p-1]^{n^2}$  be products of intervals. Then, for any  $x \in G(\mathbb{Z})$ , viewing  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  embedded in  $[0, p-1]^{n^2}$ , the density*

$$\frac{|\{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) : g \in E \text{ and } g^{-1}x \in F\}|}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \tag{3}$$

is given by

$$\frac{\text{meas}(E \times F)}{p^{2n^2}} + O_n \left( \frac{(\log p)^{2n^2}}{p^{\dim G/2}} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{\sum_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \text{meas}(E_{kl})}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^{\dim G - 1} \right) \right),$$

if  $E = \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} E_{kl}$ .

**Corollary 1.11.** *Let  $G$  be as in Theorem 1.1 and let  $p$  be a prime. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \in G(\mathbb{Z})$ , there exist  $g, h \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)$  such that  $gh = x$  and whose entries, seen in  $[0, p-1]$ , are all*

$$\ll_{n, \varepsilon} \begin{cases} p^{1 - \frac{1}{2(n^2+1)} + \varepsilon} & : G = \text{GL}_n \\ p^{1 - \frac{1}{2(n^2+2)} + \varepsilon} & : G = \text{SL}_n. \end{cases}$$

We also refer the reader to [AS07] for related questions concerning matrices, and to [Fou00], [FK01, Corollary 1.5] for general results on points on varieties in hypercubes.

**1.6. Higher-dimensional variant.** Using the same techniques, we can get an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the uniform distribution of solutions to

$$g_1 \dots g_r = x \quad (g_i \in G(\mathbb{F}_p))$$

for any  $r \geq 2$  and  $x$  fixed:

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $G$  and  $x$  be as in Theorem 1.1, and let  $r \geq 2$  be an integer. As  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , the elements*

$$A_x(\mathbf{g}) = (g_1, \dots, g_{r-1}, (g_1 \dots g_{r-1})^{-1}x) \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)^r \quad (\mathbf{g} \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)^{r-1})$$

*are uniformly distributed in  $\Omega = [0, 1]^{rn^2}$  with respect to the embedding (1). More precisely, for every product of intervals  $R$  in  $\Omega$ ,*

$$\frac{|\{\mathbf{g} \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)^{r-1} : \eta(A_x(\mathbf{g})) \in R\}|}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|^{r-1}} = \text{meas}(R) + \begin{cases} O_{n,r} \left( \frac{(\log p)^{n^2+1}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) & : G = \text{GL}_n \\ O_{n,r} \left( \frac{(\log p)^{n^2+2}}{\sqrt{p}} \right) & : G = \text{SL}_n \end{cases}$$

*as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . This also holds with  $R \subset \Omega$  an arbitrary convex set if the error terms are replaced by their  $1/(2n^2)$ th powers.*

For the sake of clarity, we focus on proving the two-dimensional versions, and indicate the changes necessary for Theorem 1.12 at the end.

*Acknowledgements.* The author thanks Lucile Devin, his colleagues in Montréal, and two anonymous referees for useful feedback on this work, as well as Yan Li for suggesting a modification of the proof of Proposition 3.1 that makes it shorter and able to handle characteristic 2.

## 2. TOOLS

**2.1. Equidistribution and discrepancy.** For the following results, we refer the reader to [DT97, Chapter 1]. Throughout, we let  $\Omega = [0, 1]^k$  for some integer  $k \geq 1$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** The discrepancy of a sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Omega$  is

$$D_N(\mathbf{x}_n) = \sup_{I \subset \Omega} \left| \frac{|\{n \leq N : \mathbf{x}_n \in I\}|}{N} - \text{meas}(I) \right|,$$

where  $I$  runs over all products of intervals in  $\Omega$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *A sequence  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\Omega$  is uniformly distributed if and only if  $D_N(\mathbf{x}_n) = o(1)$ , and we have the Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality: for any integer  $T \geq 1$*

$$D_N(\mathbf{x}_n) \leq \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^k \left( \frac{2}{T+1} + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \\ 0 < \|\mathbf{h}\|_\infty \leq T}} \frac{1}{r(\mathbf{h})} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} e(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{x}_n) \right| \right),$$

where  $r(\mathbf{h}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \max(1, |h_i|)$ ,  $e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz)$ .

*Proof.* See [DT97, Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.21] respectively.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** If the sets  $I$  in Definition 2.1 are replaced by arbitrary convex subsets, this yields the isotropic discrepancy  $J_N(\mathbf{x}_n)$ , which satisfies  $J_N(\mathbf{x}_n) \ll_k D_N(\mathbf{x}_n)^{1/k}$  (see [DT97, Theorem 1.12]).

By Weyl's criterion,  $(\mathbf{x}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is equidistributed in  $\Omega$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n \leq N} e(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{x}_n) = o(N)$$

for every nonzero  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ , so the Erdős–Turán–Koksma inequality quantifies the equidistribution from the rate of decay of these exponential sums.

**2.2. Exponential sums on matrix groups.** The bounds of [FHL<sup>+</sup>10] used in [HL13] proceed by reducing to classical Kloosterman sums on  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , through averaging and interchanging summations. Instead, we use Deligne's extension of his proof of the Weil conjectures [Del80] to work directly with the sums over the matrix groups. This allows a precise control of when the sums exhibit cancellation.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be as in Theorem 1.7, let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_p(G)$  be a rational function on  $G$ , let  $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a nontrivial character, let  $\chi : \mathbb{F}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a multiplicative character, and let  $f_1 \in \mathbb{F}_p[G]^\times$  be a nonvanishing nonconstant function. Then*

$$\frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ f(g) \neq \infty}} \psi(f(g)) \chi(f_1(g)) = \delta + O(p^{-1/2}) \quad (4)$$

with  $\delta = 1$  if  $f$  and  $\chi \circ f_1$  are constant on  $\{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) : f(g) \neq \infty\}$ ,  $\delta = 0$  otherwise. The implied constant depends only on  $n$ ,  $\deg(f)$  and  $\deg(f_1)$ .

*Proof.* The result is obvious if  $f$  and  $\chi \circ f_1$  are constant on  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , so we may assume it is not the case and prove (4) with  $\delta = 0$ . Let  $\ell \neq p$  be an auxiliary prime. Following [Del77, Exposé 6], let  $\mathcal{L}_0 := f^* \mathcal{L}_\psi = \mathcal{L}_{\psi \circ f}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}_1 := f_1^* \mathcal{L}_\chi = \mathcal{L}_{\chi \circ f_1}$ ) be the restriction to  $G$  of the Artin–Schreier (resp. Kummer) sheaf on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n^2}$  corresponding to  $\psi \circ f$  (resp.  $\chi \circ f_1$ ), and let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}_1$  be the middle tensor product. These can be seen as representations

$$\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho = \rho_0 \otimes \rho_1 : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(G)^{\text{sep}}/\mathbb{F}_p(G)) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times,$$

such that at every point  $g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset \mathbb{F}_p^{n^2}$  with  $f_1(g) \neq \infty$ , there is a Frobenius element  $\text{Frob}_g$  with

$$\iota \rho_0(\text{Frob}_g) = \psi(f(g)), \quad \iota \rho_1(\text{Frob}_g) = \chi(f_1(g)), \quad \iota \rho(\text{Frob}_g) = \psi(f(g)) \chi(f_1(g))$$

for an embedding  $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Hence, the left-hand side of (4) is

$$\frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{\substack{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ f(g) \neq \infty}} \iota \rho(\text{Frob}_g).$$

By the Grothendieck–Lefschetz trace formula [Del77, Exposé 6, (1.1.1)], this is

$$\frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim G} (-1)^i \iota \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_p | H_c^i(U \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{L})),$$

for  $U$  the open in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{n^2}$  where  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is lisse (i.e. the complement of the zero set of  $f$ ).

By Deligne's extension of the Riemann hypothesis over finite fields [Del80, Théorème 2] (see also [Del77, Théorème 1.17]), the eigenvalues of the Frobenius acting on  $H_c^i(U \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{L})$  are  $p$ -Weil numbers of weight at most  $i$ . If the one-dimensional sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is not geometrically trivial, the coinvariant formula implies that  $H_c^{2 \dim G}(U \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ , so that the left-hand side of (4)

$$\ll p^{-1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim G - 1} \dim H_c^i(U \times \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p, \mathcal{L}). \quad (5)$$

By [Kat01, Theorem 12], the sum of Betti numbers in the error term is bounded by a quantity depending only on  $n$ ,  $\deg(f)$  and  $\deg(f_1)$ , for example

$$3(2 + \max(\deg(f), n + 2) + \deg(f_1))^{3n^2}. \quad (6)$$

Thus, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{L}$  is not geometrically trivial to conclude. If it is not the case, since  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is tame everywhere and  $\mathcal{L}_0$  is not unless it is geometrically trivial, we then have that both  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0$  are geometrically trivial. Since  $\pi_1(U, \overline{\eta})/\pi_1(\overline{U}, \overline{\eta}) \cong \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ , it follows as in [FKM15, Proposition 8.5] that  $\psi \circ f$  and  $\chi \circ f_1$  are constant on  $U(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The former implies that  $f$  is of the form  $f_2^p - f_2 + c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  and  $f_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p(G)$ , whence  $f$  is constant on  $U(\mathbb{F}_p)$  as well.  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* The function  $f = \det^p - \det$  is not a counterexample to the theorem since, while not constant on  $\text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ , it is constant on  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Alternatively, note that the implied constant in (4) depends on  $\deg(f) = p$ . In the following, we will always consider cases where  $\deg(f), \deg(f_1)$  are independent from  $p$ . Similarly,  $f_1 = \det^{\text{ord } \chi}$  is not a counterexample since  $\chi \circ f_1$  is constant on  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**2.2.1. Improved error terms via stratification.** The anonymous referee of Hu and Li's paper indicated (see [HL13, Section 4]) that the stratification results of Laumon, Katz and Fouvry may be employed to answer the conjecture for  $\text{SL}_n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ; see Section 1.2). This is not necessary to obtain uniform distribution (Proposition 2.4 suffices), but we can indeed use the powerful results of Fouvry–Katz [FK01] to improve the error terms.

**DEFINITION 2.6.** We consider the inner product on  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  given by

$$g_1 \cdot g_2 := \text{tr}(g_1^t g_2) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (g_1)_{i, j} (g_2)_{i, j} \quad (g_1, g_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)).$$

The following provides a better bound on average over shifts. We will see in Section 3 that these types of sums precisely arise when bounding discrepancies of the sequences we consider.

**Proposition 2.7.** *Under the hypotheses and notations of Proposition 2.4, assume that  $f$  is obtained by reduction of a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -schemes  $\hat{f} : G \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ . If  $\delta = 0$ , then, for every integer  $2 \leq T < p$ ,*

$$\sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|h\|_\infty \leq T}} \frac{1}{r(h)} \left| \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(f(g) + h \cdot g) \chi(f_1(g)) \right| \ll \frac{(\log T)^{n^2 - \dim G + 1}}{p^{1/2}},$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $n$  and  $\deg(\hat{f})$ .

*Proof.* By [FK01, Theorem 1.1, Section 3], there exist closed subschemes  $X_j \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n^2}$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n^2$ ) of relative dimension  $\leq n^2 - j$ , depending on  $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\hat{f}$ , such that

$$X_{n^2} \subset X_{n^2-1} \subset \cdots \subset X_1 \subset X_0 := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n^2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(f(g) + h \cdot g) \chi(f_1(g)) \ll \frac{p^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|^{1/2}} \quad (7)$$

if  $h \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus X_j(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , identifying  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $\mathbb{F}_p^{n^2}$  (in the case of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ , the ambient space is  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n^2+1}$ , with an additional coordinate for  $1/\det$ , and one replaces the  $X_j$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n^2 + 1$ , given by *ibid.* with their projections to the first  $n^2$  coordinates).

According to the second-to-last line of the proof of [FK01, Theorem 3.1] (from which Theorem 1.1 in *ibid.* follows), the implied constant in (7) is bounded by the sum of Betti numbers appearing in (5) above, bounded by (6), which only depends on  $n$  and on the degree of  $\hat{f}$  (alternatively, one may also see [KLS5, (3.1.2), (3.4.2)], which controls the dependency on  $\hat{f}$  of the implied constant in [FK01, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 2.1]).

If  $\delta = 0$ , we get by Proposition 2.4 and (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|h\|_{\infty} \leq T}} \frac{1}{r(h)} \left| \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(f(g) + h \cdot g) \chi(f_1(g)) \right| \quad (8) \\ & \ll \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{p^{\frac{j}{2}}}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|h\|_{\infty} \leq T}} \frac{\delta_{h \in X_j(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus X_{j+1}(\mathbb{F}_p)}}{r(h)} + \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|h\|_{\infty} \leq T}} \frac{\delta_{h \in X_d(\mathbb{F}_p)}}{r(h)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d = \dim G$ . By induction as in [FK01, Lemma 9.5] and [KMS18, Lemma 2.3], we get that

$$\sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|h\|_{\infty} \leq T}} \frac{\delta_{h \pmod{p} \in X_j(\mathbb{F}_p)}}{r(h)} \ll (\log T)^{\dim X_j}.$$

Therefore, (8) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \ll \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \frac{p^{\frac{j}{2}}}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|^{1/2}} (\log T)^{n^2-j} + \frac{1}{p^{1/2}} (\log T)^{n^2-d} \\ & = (\log T)^{n^2} \left( \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|^{1/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \left( \frac{\sqrt{p}}{\log T} \right)^j + \frac{1}{p^{1/2} (\log T)^d} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the implied constants depend only on  $n$  and  $\deg(\hat{f})$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.8.* To handle normal subgroups  $H \leq G(\mathbb{F}_p)$  as suggested in Remark 1.6, we would need to replace  $\chi \circ f_1$  by a character  $\chi$  of  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$  (or of



$G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H$ ). To do so, one would consider the Lang torsor  $\mathcal{L}_1$  corresponding to  $\chi$  as in [Del77, 1.22-25]. Since all centralizers in  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  are connected, ibidem shows that the trace function associated to  $\mathcal{L}_1$  yields the character  $\chi$ . One could then proceed as in the proofs of [FK01, Corollary 3.2, Theorem 1.1].

*Remark 2.9.* Under the non-vanishing of an “ $A$ -number”, [FK01, Theorem 1.2] shows that the exponent in (7) can be improved to  $\max(0, j/2 - 1)$ , giving a nontrivial bound whenever  $j < d + 2$ . This would be nontrivial for all  $j$  with  $G = \mathrm{SL}_n$  as well. However, we cannot use [FK01, Theorem 8.1] to show the non-vanishing, since  $|G(\mathbb{F}_p)| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  (see [Wil09, Chapter 3]).

### 3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1, 1.4, 1.7 AND 1.9

**3.1. Setup of the exponential sums.** To obtain the theorems from Proposition 2.2, we need to bound sums of the form

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in H} \psi(h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot (g^{-1}x)) \quad (9)$$

for  $H \trianglelefteq G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $x \in G(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $h_1, h_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where  $\psi(x) = e(x/p)$ . Note that in Theorems 1.1 and 1.7, we simply have  $H = G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .

By the orthogonality relations, (9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H|} \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H}} \bar{\chi}(g) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot f(g)) \chi(g) \right) \\ & \ll \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H}} \left| \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot f(g)) \chi(g) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with  $f(g) = g^{-1}x$ .

Under the assumptions of the theorems,  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H$  is either trivial or isomorphic to a quotient  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times/U$  for a subgroup  $U \leq \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  (since  $H = \ker(G \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathbb{F}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times/U)$ ), setting  $U = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  if  $H = G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Hence, (10) is

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} \\ \chi|_U=1}} \left| \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot f(g)) \chi(f_1(g)) \right|. \quad (11)$$

By Proposition 2.4, the inner sum is small whenever the rational function on  $G$  appearing in  $\psi$  is nonconstant. We determine when this is the case in the next section.

### 3.2. Constant functions on $G$ .

#### 3.2.1. The case of $\mathrm{GL}_n$ ( $n \geq 2$ ) and $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ( $n \geq 3$ ).

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be as in (2), let  $x \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and let  $h_1, h_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . We assume that  $p \geq 3$  if  $n = 2$ . If*

$$(g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)) \mapsto h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot (g^{-1}x)$$

*is constant, then  $h_1 = h_2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $h_2 \cdot (g^{-1}x) = (h_2x^t) \cdot g^{-1}$ , it suffices to prove the result when  $x = 1$ .

With the identity matrix and the elementary matrices  $g = I + e_{i,j} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  distinct, we get that  $(h_1)_{i,j} = (h_2)_{i,j}$ .

When  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2$  and  $p \neq 2$ , the matrices  $g = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  show that

$$(\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times) \mapsto \lambda(h_1)_{1,1} + \lambda^{-1}(h_2)_{1,1},$$

is constant, so that  $(h_1)_{1,1} = (h_2)_{1,1} = 0$  and the diagonals of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are zero by symmetry. Similarly, the matrices  $g = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  show that  $(h_1)_{1,2} = \mp(h_1)_{2,1}$  and  $(h_2)_{1,2} = \pm(h_2)_{2,1}$ , whence  $h_1 = h_2 = 0$ . Thus, we may now suppose that  $n \geq 3$ .

For  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$  distinct, the matrix  $g = I - e_{i,j} - e_{j,k} \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , with inverse  $g^{-1} = I + e_{i,j} + e_{j,k} + e_{i,k}$ , gives

$$\mathrm{tr}(h_1 + h_2) = h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot g^{-1} = \mathrm{tr}(h_1 + h_2) + (h_2)_{i,k},$$

so that  $(h_2)_{i,k} = 0$  and  $h_2$  is diagonal. By symmetry, the same holds for  $h_1$ .

Using the matrices

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} & & & (-1)^{n+1} \\ 1 & & & \lambda \\ & 1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^n \lambda & 1 & & & \\ 0 & & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & & 1 \\ (-1)^{n+1} & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we get that  $(\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p) \mapsto (-1)^n \lambda (h_2)_{1,1}$  is constant, so that  $(h_2)_{1,1} = 0$ . By symmetry,  $(h_1)_{1,1} = 0$  as well.

Finally, if  $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we note that

$$h_1 \cdot (x^{-1}gx) + h_2 \cdot (x^{-1}g^{-1}x) = (x^{-t}h_1x^t) \cdot g + (x^{-t}h_2x^t) \cdot g^{-1},$$

which shows that we may permute the diagonal elements of  $h_1$  and  $h_2$ . By the previous steps,  $h_1 = h_2 = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* By the affine linear sieve of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [BGS10] and the work of Salehi-Golsefidy–Varjú and others, this implies the following: Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $S$  be a finite symmetric generating set for  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $(\gamma_N)_{N \geq 0}$  be a random walk on the Cayley graph of  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to  $S$ , starting at 1, i.e.

$$\gamma_{N+1} = \xi_{N+1} \gamma_N \text{ for } N \geq 0, \text{ with } \xi_{N+1} \text{ uniform in } S.$$

Then, for any  $h_1, h_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$  that are not both zero, there exists  $M \geq 1$  such that

$$P\left(h_1 \cdot \gamma_N + h_2 \cdot \gamma_N^{-1} \text{ has } \leq M \text{ prime factors}\right) \asymp 1/N$$

as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## 3.2.2. Symplectic groups.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ ,  $x \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , and  $h_1, h_2 \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Then*

$$(g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)) \mapsto h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot g^{-1}x$$

is constant if and only if

$$h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} \\ h_{13} & h_{14} \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -h_{14}^t & h_{12}^t \\ h_{13}^t & -h_{11}^t \end{pmatrix} x^{-t}, \quad (12)$$

where  $h_{1i} \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 4$ ).

*Proof.* Again, it suffices to consider the case  $x = 1$ . With respect to the standard form,

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ -A^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

for any  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . The result then follows from applying Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

## 3.2.3. Special orthogonal groups.

**Proposition 3.4.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $G = \mathrm{SO}_{n,1n}$ . Then, for  $p \geq 3$  and  $h \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,*

$$(g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)) \mapsto h \cdot g$$

is constant if and only if  $h = 0$ .

*Proof.* Any permutation matrix  $g_\sigma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $\sigma \in A_n$  belongs to<sup>2</sup>  $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . If  $\sigma = (i j k) \in A_n$  is a cycle of length 3, the matrices  $g_\sigma(I - 2e_j - 2e_k)$  and  $g_\sigma$  show that  $h$  is diagonal. For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  distinct, the matrices  $I - 2e_i - 2e_j$  show that the diagonal of  $h$  is zero.  $\square$

**3.3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.4.** By Proposition 2.2, for any integer  $1 \leq T < p$ , the discrepancy  $D_N(A_x(g))$  is

$$\ll \frac{1}{T} + \sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})^2 \\ 0 < \|h\|_\infty \leq T}} \frac{1}{r(h)} \left| \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in H} \psi(h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot f(g)) \right|.$$

By (11), the second summand is

$$\ll (\log T)^{n^2} \max_{\substack{h_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|h_2\|_\infty \leq T}} \sum_{\substack{h_1 \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \|(h_1, h_2)\|_\infty \leq T \\ (h_1, h_2) \neq 0}} \frac{1}{r(h_1)} \left| \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p^\times} \\ \chi|_U = 1}} \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(h_1 \cdot g + h_2 \cdot f(g)) \chi(f_1(g)) \right|.$$

<sup>2</sup>If  $G$  corresponded instead to the form  $\mathrm{diag}(\alpha, 1, \dots, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ , this would be true only for the permutations fixing 1.

By Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_N(A_x(g)) &\ll \frac{1}{T} + \frac{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|}{|H|} \frac{(\log T)^{2n^2 - \dim G + 1}}{\sqrt{p}} \\ &\ll \frac{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H|}{\sqrt{p}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{p}}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H|} \right)^{2n^2 - \dim G + 1}, \end{aligned}$$

taking  $T = \lfloor \sqrt{p}/|G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H| \rfloor$ .

The last statements in Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 follow from Remark 2.3.

*Remark 3.5.* Using Proposition 2.4 only instead of Proposition 2.7 would have given an exponent of the logarithm equal to  $2n^2$ .

**3.4. Proof of Theorems 1.7 and 1.9.** Similarly, by Propositions 2.2, 2.7 and (11), for  $1 \leq T < p$ , the discrepancy  $D_N(\eta(g))$  is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \frac{1}{T} + \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n^2} \\ 0 < \|h\|_\infty \leq T}} \frac{1}{r(h)} \left| \sum_{g \in H} \frac{1}{|H|} \psi(h \cdot g) \right| \\ &\ll \frac{1}{T} + \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H}} \sum_{\substack{h \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}) \\ 0 < \|h\|_\infty \leq T}} \frac{1}{r(h)} \left| \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(h \cdot g) \chi(g) \right| \\ &\ll \frac{1}{T} + \frac{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|}{|H|} \frac{(\log T)^{n^2 - \dim G + 1}}{p^{1/2}} \ll \frac{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H|}{\sqrt{p}} \log \left( \frac{\sqrt{p}}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)/H|} \right)^{n^2 - \dim G + 1} \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 3.6.* As above, using Proposition 2.4 instead of Proposition 2.7 would have given an exponent of the logarithm equal to  $n^2$ . Note that these exponents in the case of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  or  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  do not depend on  $n$ .

**3.5. Higher-dimensional variant.** To obtain Theorem 1.12, we need to control sums of the form

$$\sum_{g \in G^{r-1}(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} h_i \cdot g_i + h_r (g_1 \dots g_{r-1})^{-1} x \right) \quad (13)$$

for  $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_r) \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)^r$ . To do so, it suffices to replace  $G$  by  $G^{r-1}$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)^2$  by  $M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)^r$  in the arguments above. In the first bound, there is no dependency with  $r$  in the exponent since we average over all but one  $h_i$ , and we can use Proposition 2.7. From Proposition 3.1, we see that the rational function in (13) is constant if and only if  $\mathbf{h} = 0$ .

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.10 AND COROLLARY 1.11

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.10.** By orthogonality, we can write the density (3) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \sum_{\substack{e \in E_p \\ f \in F_p}} \frac{1}{p^{2n^2}} \sum_{u, v \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(u \cdot (g - e) + v \cdot (g^{-1}x - f)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{p^{2n^2}} \sum_{u, v \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)} \sum_{e \in E_p} \bar{\psi}(u \cdot e) \sum_{f \in F_p} \bar{\psi}(v \cdot f) S(u, v) \\
 &= \frac{|E_p||F_p|}{p^{2n^2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{2n^2}} \sum_{\substack{u, v \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ (u, v) \neq 0}} \left| \sum_{e \in E_p} \bar{\psi}(u \cdot e) \right| \left| \sum_{f \in F_p} \bar{\psi}(v \cdot f) \right| |S(u, v)|\right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $E_p = E \pmod{p}$ ,  $F_p = F \pmod{p} \subset \mathbb{F}_p$  and

$$S(u, v) = \frac{1}{|G(\mathbb{F}_p)|} \sum_{g \in G(\mathbb{F}_p)} \psi(u \cdot g + v \cdot (g^{-1}x)).$$

Since  $E = \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} E_{kl}$  is a product of intervals, Weyl's bound gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{e \in E_p} \bar{\psi}(u \cdot e) &= \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \sum_{e_{kl} \in E_{kl}} \bar{\psi}(u_{kl} e_{kl}) \\
 &\ll \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|E_{kl}|, \|u_{kl}/p\|^{-1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $F$ , with  $\|\cdot\|$  denoting the distance to the nearest integer and  $|E_{kl}| := \text{meas}(E_{kl})$ . Hence, the error term in (14) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\ll \frac{1}{p^{n^2}} \sum_{v \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p)} \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|F_{kl}|, \|v_{kl}/p\|^{-1}) \\
 &\quad \times \frac{1}{p^{n^2}} \sum_{\substack{u \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ (u, v) \neq 0}} |S(u, v)| \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|E_{kl}|, \|u_{kl}/p\|^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

To bound the sum over  $u$ , we proceed as in Proposition 2.7, using [FK01]. With  $d = \dim G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{p^{n^2}} \sum_{\substack{u \in M_n(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ (u, v) \neq 0}} |S(u, v)| \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|E_{kl}|, \|u_{kl}/p\|^{-1}) \\
 &\ll \left( \frac{1}{p^{d/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p^{j/2} + \sum_{j=d}^{n^2} p^{-1/2} \right) \frac{1}{p^{n^2}} \sum_{u \in X_j(\mathbb{F}_p)} \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|E_{kl}|, \|u_{kl}/p\|^{-1}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

By [FK01, Lemma 9.5] (or [Fou00, (2.6)]), if  $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n^2}$  has dimension  $\leq n^2 - j$ ,

$$\sum_{u \in X(\mathbb{F}_p)} \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|E_{kl}|, \|u_{kl}/p\|^{-1}) \ll (p \log p)^{n^2 - j} M_E^j,$$

where  $M_E = \max_{k,l} |E_{kl}|$ . Proceeding by induction as in op. cit., we get the more precise bound

$$\sum_{u \in X(\mathbb{F}_p)} \prod_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \min(|E_{kl}|, |u_{kl}/p|^{-1}) \ll (p \log p)^{n^2-j} e_j(|E_{kl}|) \quad (16)$$

when the  $|E_{kl}|$  may not be all equal, where  $e_j$  is the  $j$ th elementary symmetric polynomial in  $n^2$  variables.

Thus, (15) is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll \left( \frac{1}{p^{d/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} p^{j/2} + \sum_{j=d}^{n^2} p^{-1/2} \right) (\log p)^{n^2} e_j(|E_{kl}|) p^{-j} \\ &\ll (\log p)^{n^2} \left( \frac{1}{p^{d/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e_j \left( \frac{|E_{kl}|}{\sqrt{p}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{j=d}^{n^2} e_j \left( \frac{|E_{kl}|}{p} \right) \right) \\ &\ll (\log p)^{n^2} \left( \frac{1}{p^{d/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} e_j \left( \frac{|E_{kl}|}{\sqrt{p}} \right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} e_d \left( \frac{|E_{kl}|}{p} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Maclaurin's inequality [Ste04, (12.3)], letting  $L_E = e_1(|E_{kl}|)$ , this is

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll (\log p)^{n^2} \left( \frac{1}{p^{d/2}} \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} (L_E/\sqrt{p})^j + \frac{(L_E/p)^d}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \\ &\ll (\log p)^{n^2} \left( \frac{1}{p^{d/2}} \max \left( 1, (L_E/\sqrt{p})^{d-1} \right) + \frac{(L_E/p)^d}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \\ &\ll \frac{(\log p)^{n^2}}{p^{d/2}} \max \left( 1, (L_E/\sqrt{p})^{d-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (16) again, we find that the total error in (14) is

$$\ll \frac{(\log p)^{2n^2}}{p^{d/2}} \max \left( 1, (L_E/\sqrt{p})^{d-1} \right)$$

4.1.1. *Proof of Corollary 1.11.* Finally, if  $E$  and  $F$  are the products of intervals of the same integral length  $x$ , then the density (3) is

$$\left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{2n^2} + O_n \left( \frac{(\log p)^{2n^2}}{p^{d/2}} \max \left( 1, \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{p}} \right)^{d-1} \right) \right).$$

The main term dominates if and only if

$$x \gg_{n,\varepsilon} p^{1 - \frac{1}{2(2n^2 - \dim G + 1)} + \varepsilon}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , which yields the corollary.

## REFERENCES

- [AS07] Omran Ahmadi and Igor E. Shparlinski. Distribution of matrices with restricted entries over finite fields. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 18(3):327–337, 2007.
- [Asc84] Michael Aschbacher. On the maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 76(3):469–514, 1984.

- [BGS10] Jean Bourgain, Alex Gamburd, and Peter Sarnak. Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 179(3):559–644, March 2010.
- [Bro83] Sean Allen Broughton. A note on characters of algebraic groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 89(1):39–40, 1983.
- [Del77] Pierre Deligne. *Cohomologie étale, séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$* , volume 569 of *Lecture notes in Mathematics*. Springer, 1977.
- [Del80] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. II. *Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques*, 52(1):137–252, 1980.
- [DT97] Michael Drmota and Robert F. Tichy. *Sequences, discrepancies, and applications*. Number 1651 in *Lecture notes in mathematics*. Springer, 1997.
- [FHL<sup>+</sup>10] Ron Ferguson, Corneliu Hoffman, Florian Luca, Alina Ostafe, and Igor E. Shparlinski. Some additive combinatorics problems in matrix rings. *Revista Matemática Complutense*, 23(2):501–513, July 2010.
- [FK01] Etienne Fouvry and Nicholas M. Katz. A general stratification theorem for exponential sums, and applications. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 2001(504):115–166, 2001.
- [FKM15] Étienne Fouvry, Emmanuel Kowalski, and Philippe Michel. Algebraic twists of modular forms and Hecke orbits. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 25(2):580–657, 2015.
- [Fou00] Étienne Fouvry. Consequences of a result of N. Katz and G. Laumon concerning trigonometric sums. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 120(1):81–96, 2000.
- [HL12] Su Hu and Yan Li. Gauss sums over some matrix groups. *Journal of Number Theory*, 132(12):2967 – 2976, dec 2012.
- [HL13] Su Hu and Yan Li. On a uniformly distributed phenomenon in matrix groups. *Journal of Number Theory*, 133(11):3578–3588, November 2013.
- [Kat01] Nicholas M. Katz. Sums of Betti numbers in arbitrary characteristic. *Finite fields and their Applications*, 7(1):29–44, 2001.
- [KL85] Nicholas M. Katz and Gérard Laumon. Transformation de Fourier et majoration de sommes exponentielles. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (62):361–418, 1985.
- [KMS18] Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, and Will Sawin. Bilinear forms with generalized Kloosterman sums. March 2018. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.09849>.
- [Shp12] Igor E. Shparlinski. Modular hyperbolas. *Japanese Journal of Mathematics*, 7(2):235–294, November 2012.
- [Ste04] J. Michael Steele. *The Cauchy-Schwarz master class*. MAA Problem Books Series. Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. An introduction to the

art of mathematical inequalities.

[Wil09] Robert A. Wilson. *The Finite Simple Groups*, volume 251 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 2009.

CENTRE DE RECHERCHES MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, CANADA  
Email address: `corentin.perretgentil@gmail.com`